Effects of Petroleum Pollution and the Remediation Attempts in Ogoniland, Rivers State, Nigeria: A Review
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Abstract: Ogoniland has been suffering from severe environmental devastation occasioned by petroleum pollution since the discovery and exploitation for over five decades. This has brought ill-health and untold hardship to the Ogoni people as the farmlands and water were destroyed. The situation is exacerbated by the uncertainties hanging around hope of complete clean-up and prevention of further spills with consequent public health risks implications. The overall effect has been worsened due to neglect by the stakeholders and relevant authorities. This enormous environmental devastation provoked the Ogoni youths into violent protest and clashes with the security agents which led to the stoppage of activities by Shell Petroleum Development Company (SPDC) in 1992. The situation compelled the relevant authorities to seek a way of attending to the massive environmental devastation in Ogoniland. In Ogoni environments, soil and water are still severely polluted despite several claims of clean-up. Large volume of this pollution occurs as a result of human error with abandoned dilapidated flow stations/oil transport lines, illegal drilling/refining of crude oil and deliberate destruction of oil transport lines. There is deployment of inappropriate remediation strategies and abandoning without the needed monitoring. This review re-evaluates the cumulative impacts of crude oil pollution on the Ogoni environments and the people, different clean-up attempts, strategies and the present state of the environment. Generally, work progress at the remediation sites has been judged to be very slow. However, excavation of the affected soil, subsequent transfer to the bio-cell treatment sites and backfilling of treated soil is ongoing at the moment. Therefore, it is hope that the present remediation strategy will completely clean-up Ogoni environments of crude oil pollution, restore life and hope to Ogoni people while appropriate measures are put in place to prevent further oil spills in Ogoniland.
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INTRODUCTION

Ogoniland is among the oil producing communities in the Niger Delta, River State of Nigeria which covers 1,000 km² at latitude 4.05 and 4.20 North and longitude 7.10 and 7.30 East (Nkpaa, et al., 2016; 2017; UNEP, 2011). According to the 2006 national census, the population of Ogoni people is about 832,000 with four Local Government Areas, named: Eleme, Gokana, Khana, and Tai (Balouga, 2009; UNEP, 2011). The Ogoniland has both riverine and upland communities, hence majority of Ogoni people are generally fishermen. However, there is also an increased interest in crop production to ensure food security of Ogoni people (UNEP, 2011). This drives the remediation efforts focusing mostly on the terrestrial environment to rescue and recover more lands for crop production (Johnson and Ronald, 2015). Ogoniland is divinely blessed with numerous water bodies flowing through different creeks and harbouring abundance natural resources typified by the crude oil (Amnesty International, 2015). It has been the site of exploration of crude oil with consequent exploitation of the environment by the oil industries since the late 1950s. There are poorly documented histories of severely environmental contamination and devastation occasioned by oil well fires, gas flaring/venting and numerous records of oil spills (UNEP, 2011). There are also proofs of attempt at recovering the Ogoni environments from crude oil pollution (UNEP, 2011; Jaja and Obuah, 2019). To this, different techniques; which could be physical, chemical or biological, depending on the type of environment have been applied (Lim et al., 2016). The effectiveness of some of these technologies, as employed in the Ogoniland could not be ascertained as many of them were inappropriately deployed or abandoned without the needed monitoring (Amnesty International et al., 2020).
Oil exploration as a source of Environmental pollution in Ogoniland

The dominant crude oil exploration company in Ogoniland is the Shell Petroleum Development Company (SPDC). This company started its activities in 1958, managing a total of 96 oil wells representing nine oil fields on the stream (Nenibarini and Franklin, 2016). There is increase in both national and global demand for crude oil being the principal source of energy and wealth (Ikhimiukor and Nneji, 2013). This almost complete dependence on petroleum for wealth and as a source of energy and fuel puts the Ogoniland and her people at great risk due to the consequent contamination of the environments.

The crude oil pollution in Ogoniland has originated from legal and illegal oil drilling and refining activities which involved huge network of oil transport pipelines (Olof and Jonas, 2013; UNEP, 2011). Illegal refining of crude oil has also immensely contributed to severe hydrocarbon pollution of Ogoniland (UNEP, 2011). It was reported that an increased illegal oil refining in Bodo West, Bonny LGA resulted in the environmental devastation with consequent destruction of mangrove vegetation (UNEP, 2011). There was emergence of groups in the region that deliberately destroy oil transport lines, resulting in more pollution to the environment (Amnesty International, 2019). The absolute disregard for the health effects of the people living therein exacerbated the already dire situation of the Ogoni community (Kvenvolden and Cooper, 2003; Bayat et al., 2015).

Many years after cessation of crude oil exploration by the SPDC in some fields, there are still traces of crude oil pollution in Ogoni environments, particularly the aquatic environments (UNEP, 2011). Network of oil transport pipelines convey about 150,000 barrels of crude oil daily through Ogoni Shell’s export terminal at Bonny Island (Kelechukwu and Ruth, 2020). These pipelines leak as a result of corrosion or act of sabotage, abandoned dilapidated flow stations and other illegal oil-related activities ensured continuous pollution of the environment (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Abandoned Flow station at K/Dere, in Gokana LGA. Source: Michael Uwemedimo/cmapping.net

Human exposure to crude oil

The major routes through which the Ogoni people are exposed to crude oil pollution include body contact with contaminated soil or water, inhalation/respiration and ingestion of contaminant. Exposure through bodily contact; either from water or soil is very common (Ordinioha and Brisibe, 2013). People always have direct body contact with water during bathing, washing or fishing (UNEP, 2011; Barron, 2012).
Those that till the soil also make body contact with contaminated soil and also inhale volatile hydrocarbons (UNEP, 2011; Owhonda, 2016; Sylvia, 2019). The people in Ogoniland are also exposed to vapourized hydrocarbon evaporating from already contaminated environment (Kampa, 2008; Sylvia, 2019). Studies indicated that 35 million metric tons of CO$_2$, methane gas and Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) are released yearly through gas flaring in the Niger Delta (Nriagu, 2011; Okhumode, 2017). Consumption of crude oil contaminated water and sea foods such as oyster, shrimps and lobsters are the major ways through which crude oil get into human digestive system (Gohlke et al., 2011; Barron, 2012).

**Effects of crude oil pollution on water meant for human consumption**

The first obvious target of crude oil pollution of aquatic environment is the destruction of the aesthetic nature of the water. The Ogoni surface water bodies usually appeared dark brown and cloudy as a result of oil sheen on the water surface with a characteristic foul hydrocarbon odour. The biochemical reactions in water, decay of dead aquatic plants and anaerobic metabolism of the pollutant by anaerobic organism’s results in obnoxious smell, rendering it completely unappealing for human uses (Frank and Boisa, 2018). The water is not good for recreational activities, washing dishes, laundry and certainly not good for drinking (UNEP, 2011; Lindén and Pålsson, 2013; Nganje, et al., 2015; Davies and Abolude, 2016; Frank, and Boisa, 2018). Studies found extractable petroleum hydrocarbons in surface waters says up to 7420 microgram per litre and benzene up to 9000 microgram per litre of water are 900 times more than the WHO recommendation for drinking (Okhumode, 2017). Studies also indicated that these surface water bodies are systematically polluted with different petro-compounds like benzene, toluene, ethylbenezene and xylene (BTEX), Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) among other petroleum pollutants, extending down the shallow wells linking aquifers, which are major sources of groundwater (Ndubuisi and Asia, 2007; Godson et al., 2009). The consequence is that Ogoni people lack portable water for drinking and other domestic uses as people need to travel long distance to get portable water (UNEP, 2011; Okhumode, 2017).

**Effects of Crude oil Pollution on Aquatic Biota**

Surface water bodies with visible oil sheen covering the surface are usually not good habitat for aquatic macro and micro-organisms (Frank and Boisa, 2018). The thin layer of oil that covers the water surface hinders light and oxygen penetration into the water body. This affects chemical and biological processes as obligate aerobes are harmed while photosynthetic activities especially at the benthic regions are seriously disrupted (Fent, 2004; Labud et al., 2007; Alrumman et al., 2015; Deng and Linda, 2018). The photosynthetic aquatic plants and other primary producers in the ecosystem are grossly affected, setting up destructive competition and lack of food within the aquatic ecosystem as food chain is disrupted (Frank and Boisa, 2018). The BTEX compounds are highly volatile and are primary constituents of light crudes (ATSDR, 2009). They are easily miscible with water, a feat that facilitates their penetration into aerobic organisms living in the water. These compounds are highly toxic to organisms they are exposed to (Gary, 2011).

Severe crude oil pollution of aquatic environments in Ogoniland has caused poor fish yield as many of the fishes either die from starvation or die of direct crude oil effects (Langangen et al., 2017). Exposure to crude oil causes spoilage of fish eggs resulting in delayed, premature or no hatching of the eggs (Blackburn et al., 2014; Langangen et al., 2017) Also, poor development and stunted growth may occur in larvae and embryos exposed to pollution (Sørensen et al., 2017). Consequently, survived species have all migrated away from their localities to other water bodies.
where the water is cleaner and free from severe hydrocarbon pollution (UNEP, 2011). This has drastically reduced fish harvest and by implication, affects protein supply as well as the economy of the Ogoni people (Okhumode, 2017).

**Effects of crude oil pollutants on Farmlands activities**

When farmlands polluted with crude oil are left without remediation, the microbial communities and biological activities within the soil surface at the affected sites are grossly affected due to the crude oil toxicity (Digha et al., 2017). The oil seals up the pores on the soil surface, rendering the soil impermeable to the essential factors needed for soil organisms to survive. The outcome of this is complete blockage of air exchange through soil surface pores and poor penetration of light, water and nutrients into the sub-soil (Frank and Boisa, 2018). Ultimately, the soil fertility is grossly affected as aerobic microbes involved in breaking down of organic matter and turning them into essential nutrients for crops utilization were starved (Abii and Nwosu, 2009; Digha et al., 2017). Anaerobic condition in the soil increases the solubility of certain elements like Iron and Manganese that are potentially photo-toxic elements (Abii and Nwosu, 2009). When these elements are absorbed by plants, stunted leaves or plant defoliation can result (Digha et al., 2017).

Moreover, crude oil spillage on the soil inhibits plant seeds germination by covering the endosperm of the seed thereby inhibiting water and oxygen penetration into the seed, resulting in the death of the seed (Abii and Nwosu, 2009). Crude oil can also coat the root tissues of root crops, resulting in the decay of crops and reduced overall crop yield. Such affected crops involved cassava and yam which are part of the major crops produce of Ogoni people (UNEP, 2011). High concentration of crude oil in the rhizosphere reduces the soil pH, thereby creating acidic condition within the plant’s root region which encourage fungal colonization and eventually root rots (Owhonda, 2016; Odiyi et al., 2020).

When polluted farmland is left unattended to, the oil will leached down into the sub-soil polluting the underground water while some are washed away via surface run-off into other farmlands and neighbouring water bodies (UNEP 2011). Oil spills also results in bush fires which destroy vegetation and create film layer on the soil (Owhonda, 2016). The PAHs are compounds with numerous benzene rings. As major constituents of crude oil, PAH compounds are usually incriminated in partial combustion of hydrocarbon, a feat which enhances their toxicity (Waller, 1994). Smoke produced during the burning of PAH, which contains partially burnt hydrocarbon, hinders the plant’s photosynthetic activities when deposited on healthy leaves and stems of plants by blocking the stomata on the leaves and the lenticels on the stems (Zeiger, 2006; UNEP 2011; Owhonda, 2016).

Studies have it that severe crude oil pollution of Ogoni farmlands resulted in serious soil degradation which adversely affected agricultural activities and declined food production (Digha et al., 2017). The poor food production, even for subsistence use directly affects the socio-economic condition of the Ogoni people (Boele et al., 2001) and consequently influences the general life of a typical Ogoni indigene who depends wholly on what he gets from the land for survival (Joel, 2019).

**Health implications of Crude oil pollution in Ogoniland**

Health implications of the crude oil pollution in Ogoniland is that those who live or work in communities with history of gas flaring are extremely susceptible to cancer of the lung, asthmatic cough and eye irritation (Ndubisi and Asia, 2007; Okhumode, 2017). Ethyl benzene and xylenes act as neurotoxins while benzene is a known human carcinogen (Ordinioha and Brisibe, 2013). Hence, fishermen who are constantly exposed to BTEX compounds are also vulnerable to neurotic diseases and cancer (Gary, 2011; Waller, 1994).
Other documented ailments associated with inhalation of vapourized hydrocarbon include dizziness, shortness of breath, throat irritation, headache, confusion and mental health impairment (Carrasco et al., 2007; ATSDR, 2009; Aguilera, et al., 2010). Those that have made direct and consistence body contact with the crude oil are vulnerable to skin diseases such as oedema, blisters, dermatitis, inflammation, cancer and rashes of the skin (ATSDR, 2009; Aguilera et al., 2010; Major and Wang 2012). Also, consumption of contaminated water and hydrocarbon contaminated aquatic foods has made diarrhea and related gastrointestinal issues a common occurrence among the villagers especially in children (Bosch, 2003; Gema et al., 2007; Okhumode, 2017).

Acid rain effect
Gas flaring discharges hazardous particles into the atmosphere as it is a common practice within the entire Niger Delta (Buzcu-Guven and Harriss, 2012; Emam, 2016). This practice releases partially burnt or unburnt hydrocarbon substances into the atmosphere, causing serious air pollution with ensuing severe environmental and public health implications (Emam, 2016). Partially burnt hydrocarbons in the atmosphere mix up with rain, reducing pH of the water to 4.98-5.15 range thereby causing acid effect (Nwankwo and Ogagarue, 2011). Roofs of houses in Ogoniland are conspicuously seen in brown colouration as a result of corrosion caused by acid rain (Efe, 2010; Ejelonu et al., 2011). This makes roofs of houses to get old quickly as perforated and leaking house roofs are common occurrence within the Ogoni communities (Okhumode, 2017).

Life in Ogoniland
Life in Ogoniland has become very tough especially for those that lost farmlands, homes and other sources of livelihood to oil spillage (Frank and Boisa, 2018). Some people deserted their ancestral homes, friendly beaches and vegetation (Kelechukwu and Ruth, 2020). The consequence is the drastic decline of overall quality of life of the Ogoni people with ensuing enormous psychological strain; provoking worries, hopelessness, dissatisfaction, frustration, discomfort, anger and hatred among the inhabitants of the local communities (Jerome et al., 2016).
In most cases, the psychological issue results in stress and fatigue; aging quickly and shorter life expectancy (Loureiro et al., 2005; Rajagopalan, 2010; Kelechukwu and Ruth, 2020).

Indigenes of Ogoniland are predominantly famers (fish farmers and crop producers). These sources of livelihood have been taken away by oil pollution as crude oil destroys water bodies and farmlands. The failure to avail new sources of livelihood to Ogoni people has resulted in pushing many indigenes into criminal tendencies in order to survive (UNEP, 2011). Hence, there is rapid increase in illegal oil bunkering and other illegal oil-related activities. (Amnesty International et al., 2020).

Implementation of the United Nations Environmental Program’s Recommendations

In 2006, the local and international organizations pressurized the Nigerian Government to invite United Nations Environmental Programme on environmental assessment in Ogoniland (UNEP, 2011). The report of this assessment was published in 2011 as the key findings include extensive hydrocarbon contamination of Ogoni environments with unnecessary delay in the clean-up response. Part of the recommendations include complete overhaul of remediation approach by the SPDC, which resulted in the establishment of the Environmental Restoration Authority (UNEP, 2011).

In an attempt to implement the UNEP recommendations, the Federal Government of Nigeria established Hydrocarbon Pollution and Remediation Project (HYPREP) to oversee the clean-up operations (UNEP, 2014). This HYPREP trained Ogoni indigenous scientists on environmental preservation professions such as the management of contaminated site assessment, clean-up assessment, clean-up of contaminated sites and field work techniques (UNEP, 2014).

Remediation Attempts of Crude Oil-Polluted Ogoni environments
i Solidification of excavated contaminated soil for construction purpose

This is a physical remediation protocol which involved mixing the contaminated soil with cement for construction purpose (Johnson and Olukorede, 2014). This will reduce the soil contaminants to an acceptable level that will not cause harm to humans. The process is done using chemical neutralizer and incineration especially in areas with minimum contaminations (Yedil et al., 2020). It is among the earliest strategies employed in reclaiming the Ogoni environments from crude oil pollution by SDPC. The soil is deeply excavated to the range of 6m-10m as in the case of Ejama Ebubu in Eleme LGA where 40,000 soil crete bricks were produced (Mmom and Igbuku, 2015). Such strategy brought joy to the community but was immediately abandoned because the deep trench created while dredging the soil became a site that required attention as erosion brought back the contaminants that were previously removed (Amnesty International, 2019).

ii Remediation by Enhance Natural Attenuation (RENA)

This is the most commonly used remediation strategy in Ogoniland otherwise known as the land farming (Ebuehi et al., 2005; Onifade et al., 2007; Wegwu et al., 2010). The RENA strategy follows the principle of bioremediation in which hydrocarbons are spontaneously degraded by indigenous microorganisms (Bento et al., 2005; Wegwu et al., 2010). It is usually an active reaction of microbes to the pollutants that have devastated their immediate habitat and threatened their very existence (David, 2018). In an attempt to escape the ruinous effects of the pollutants, microbes create a unique means of turning the harmful pollutants into a useful delicacy (Abatenh et al., 2017; David, 2018). In the process, toxic pollutants are transformed into less toxic/nontoxic compound or are completely
broken down to their elemental forms (Shweta and Pratyoosh, 2020). The procedure entails addition of stimulants on the soil that was initially drained from crude oil contaminants. The stimulant is usually synthetic fertilizers which supplement the nutritional requirements of the microbes in the affected sites as they degrade the pollutants. The next step under RENA strategy is tillage of the soil to enhance aeration of the soil and encourage aerobic microbial processes needed for hydrocarbon biodegradation (Chen et al., 2007). Tilling the soil also ensures thorough mixture of the added nutrients to make them available to the degrading microbes (UNEP, 2011; Orji et al., 2012).

Remediation by Enhance Natural Attenuation strategy has been reported as one of the most viable and effective microbial-based remediation protocol especially in cleaning-up crude oil polluted soil (Wegwu et al., 2010). It was almost the only remediation strategy employed in Ogoniland for soil recovery as observed by UNEP in Bodo creek and B-Dere, Gokhana LGA (UNEP, 2011) (Figure 3). In this strategy, the collaborative efforts (Patowary et al., 2016) of different species of indigenous microbes (Singh et al., 2012; Joutey et al., 2013; Mouna et al., 2018); bacteria, fungi (Mishra et al., 2001; Bender and Phillips, 2004; Singh, 2006) and even algae (Aditi et al., 2015; Nithiya et al., 2018; Pankaj et al., 2019; Diana et al., 2020) with hydrocarbon-degrading abilities, such as the ability to secrete degradative enzymes, biosurfactants and growth factors (Helia and Philip, 2003; Elumalai et al., 2017; El-Sheshtawi et al., 2017), are stimulated into degrading an array of hydrocarbon pollutant (Wang et al., 2011; Wasoh et al., 2019). Hence, degradation commences immediately the indigenous organisms are able to survive the effects of the spillage, adapt and grow exponentially in the contaminated environment. Thus, if well-stimulated and aerated, RENA remediation strategy has the ability to completely clean-up crude oil contaminated soil environment of different components of hydrocarbon. For instance, short and long chains hydrocarbons, PAHs and even non-hydrocarbon compounds including trace metals; many of which are hydrophobic and very difficult to biologically degrade are susceptible to degradation (Joutey et al., 2013; Ghosal et al., 2016; Elumalai et al., 2017; Mouna et al., 2018). In a particular study where RENA was applied in recovering crude oil-polluted site, culturable hydrocarbon utilizing bacteria from the genera of Achromobacter, Alcaligenes, Azospirillus, Bacillus, Lysinibacillus, Ochrobactrum, Proteus, and Pusillimonas were found as the active degraders of total petroleum hydrocarbon (Chioma et al., 2017).

Figure 3: Land Farming in (a) Bodo creek and (b) in B-Dere, Ogoniland. Source: unep.org.
This remediation process has not been a successful story in Ogoniland due to challenges faced. Firstly, it can only be applied on the terrestrial environment since addition of chemical stimulant like NPK will further contaminate the water and render it completely unusable. Secondly, only about 15-20cm of the soil is dug out and mixed with the stimulated top soil, with the excuse that the soil is clayey and would not permit leaching of oil deeper into the subsoil (UNEP, 2011). However, studies by UNEP indicated that crude oil pollutants, even on the acclaimed remediated sites had already leached deeper beyond 5m and if recovery of only 15-20cm into the subsoil is assured under RENA strategy, the safety of the underground water is not guaranteed. The UNEP report went on to note that RENA approach was ineffective because of Oxygen circulation which is very poor beyond 1m depth (UNEP, 2011).

Very importantly, RENA technique depends on the availability of hydrocarbon-degrading microbes to spontaneously degrade the contaminants. On this, it is worthy to note that some forms of hydrocarbon are too toxic or unyielding to microbial degradation (UNEP, 2011). Hence, RENA technique fails if there are no hydrocarbon-degrading microbes or when the predominant forms of hydrocarbon on the site are too toxic for the indigenous microbes. This makes the entire process even more unreliable considering the enormous time needed for the available species of these microbes to adapt and start degradation especially in the case of large volume of oil spillage (Ebuehi et al., 2005; Johnson and Ronald, 2015).

iii Scooping/Draining and Disposal

This physical remediation strategy involved draining the soil to remove as much oil as possible on the soil surface. It also involves siphoning of severely contaminated small non-flowing water bodies and discharging the contaminated water into a constructed containment pit or plastic tank known as sump where the contaminated water is further treated before final disposal (Rosalie et al., 2020). The sumps are usually covered and regularly monitored to avoid overflow and consequent flooding of the contaminant into unpolluted sites (Anum et al., 2020). In the case of Ogoniland, it was observed that the scooped contaminated water was usually disposed indiscriminately (Figure 4) where it easily becomes source of pollution to other sites (HYPREP, 2021). Heavily polluted water bodies are usually considered for draining and because the water contains large volume of the contaminant, the physical and chemical properties of the soil at the dump site are always severely affected. However, the severity of the impact depends on the type of soil. On dry sandy soil, the volatile components usually evaporate almost immediately the contaminated water is disposed, reducing the volume of the contamination and causing less damage to the soil. Such cannot be said of wet clay soil (UNEP, 2011). Heavy crude oil deposit on wet clay soil remains on the soil for a long time, interrupting with the penetration of water, air and nutrient into the soil, causing harm to soil microbial community and compromising the quality of the soil (UNEP, 2011). Moreover, the few constructed sumps were poorly done and abandoned afterwards (Amnesty International et al., 2020).
iv Biocell Technology

This technology involves construction of large dumpster in concrete cement or with large plastic tanks. Crude oil polluted-soil is then loaded into the tank. Aerobic microbial activities are stimulated through aeration as microbes degrade the contaminant. Moisture and nutrients are added to enhance microbial degradation of the hydrocarbon adsorbed to soil particles. Drainage pipes are usually connected from the biocell to the sump to drain contaminated water (NFESC, 2017). The floor and walls of biocells constructed with cement material are usually sealed with water-proof material to avoid leaching of the contaminant into the subsoil (HYPREP, 2021). Treated soil is usually returned to where it was excavated (Amnesty International et al., 2020).

Like the RENA strategy, the Biocell protocol also depends on the activities of the indigenous microbial consortium that are stimulated to carry out the degradation of the pollutants (Patowary et al., 2016). However, unlike the land farming that is done in open ground, the biocell protocol is restricted in constructed equipment, which is advantageous as it prevents escape of the contaminant via leaching or surface run-off. As observed by Amnesty International/Environmental Right Action scientists, the biocell treatment at some sites in parts of Gokana LGA was judged to have failed as the systems were badly constructed. Polythene material of very low quality and in some cases, rice and cement bags were used for biocell floor and walls instead of the High Density Polythene (HDP) material, resulting in biocell with compromised structure (Amnesty International et al., 2020). There was no addition of nutrients or periodic aeration of the system to stimulate the autochthonous microbes into degradation of the pollutants. Also, the drainage sumps; mostly plastic tanks seem to be too small to contain large volume of contaminated water from the biocell and the few sites constructed with concrete materials are in serious bad shape and are not also covered (Amnesty International et al., 2020). When it rains, contaminants spilled over the sumps, spreading and polluting the environment the more.
Biopile technology involves collection of crude oil-contaminated soil and forming the soil into piles on a flat soil surface (BERD, 1996). The area is surrounded with sandbags to avoid escape of the pollutant via run-off (USEPA, 2017). The base of the biocell (soil surface) is usually sealed with an impermeable material to avoid or reduce leaching of pollutant down the subsurface environment (Egbo et al., 2018). A leachate collection system may also be constructed under the base for collection of the leached pollutant especially when addition of enough moisture is anticipated. The contaminated piles are covered with membrane to protect it from downpour and also prevent the escape of volatile contaminants into the air via dust.

Biopile technology, like the biocell technique also operates based on biodegradation. Nutrients such as nitrogen and phosphorus are added while water is made available if needed, all to facilitate microbial degradation of the contaminants (USEPA, 2017; Amnesty International et al., 2020).

Biopile technique is an improved version of RENA approach but unlike the RENA approach that needs periodic mixing of the soil, the biopile treatment is static; the soil is not occasionally mixed to avail moisture, oxygen and nutrient to the degrading organisms and this may result in treated soils that are not uniform. However, the technique through its base sealing prevents loss of the contaminants via leaching (BERD, 1996). It encourages also biodegradation by autochthonous anaerobic microorganisms since the piles are not aerated (Egbo et al., 2018). The biopile treatment at Ogoniland was also judged to have failed because of the poor construction of the system and lack of the required monitoring (Amnesty International et al., 2020).
Poor planning and lack of commitment on the part of the Federal government and of course the oil company culminated in operational faults and failure. The Covid 19 pandemic lockdown of 2020 was on hand to provide an immediate excuse for workers on the site to completely abandon the remediation program. Hence, it has become very difficult to ascertain the effectiveness or otherwise of the afore mentioned remediation protocols being applied in the clean-up of crude oil polluted Ogoni environments (Amnesty International et al., 2020). For instance, the biocell and biopile systems which depend wholly on microbial actions on the pollutants operate on chance. The contractors do not know any of the microbial species involved in the hydrocarbon degradation, there was no routine monitoring, no peristaltic pumps to send nutrients to the degrading microbes in the biocell, hence, nutritional stimulation to improve the activities of the degrading microbes was also lacking (Amnesty International et al., 2020).

Meanwhile, the sabotage and oil theft continues with ensuing new spills and pollution of previously uncontaminated sites. Moreover, the pollutant continues its journey down the subsoil (over 8m) beyond the region documented by UNEP in 2011. Most water bodies are still very much polluted. Even areas classified as having been satisfactorily remediated are still heavily polluted since the works were abandoned and were never completed (Amnesty International et al., 2020).
The Report of HYPREP activities in 2021

Despite the position of HYPREP that 70% of clean-up of Ogoniland has been completed, the fact remains that Ogoni environments are still very far from being rescued of crude oil pollution (CSLAC, 2020). Illegal oil refinery operators and saboteurs are always on hand to ensure that the situation is aggravated (Amnesty International et al., 2020). However, the activities of HYPREP, beginning from January 2021 have tried to proffer solutions to the established concerns that form the basis of this review. For instance, to curb illegal drilling/refining of crude oil and intentional destruction of oil pipelines, it was generally believed that improving the living conditions of the Ogoni people by creating more livelihoods would be very helpful in engaging the locals and steering the youths away from the criminal activities. On this, HYPREP started the training of Ogoni women and youths in Civic Education, Agribusiness and Entrepreneurial Skills and then setting up Cooperative societies for the trained women and youths (HYPREP, 2021). To minimize ill-health associated with consumption of crude oil-contaminated water, HYPREP engaged with the State Ministry of Water Resources to rehabilitate existing water facilities in Ogoniland and construct new ones in areas where there are none in other to ensure potable water for Ogoni people in accordance with the UNEP recommendation (HYPREP, 2021). To ensure the success of the present remediation strategies, the first important step taken was for the Government, via the HYPREP to assume full responsibility of project ownership. Hence, HYPREP usually carried out on-the-spot assessment of contractors’ performance at the remediation sites to ensure that workers stick to the specifications of the contracts in accordance with the international best practice as enshrined in the terms of the contract agreement (HYPREP, 2021).

Part of UNEP recommendations mandated SPDC to periodically conduct comprehensive review of its assets and develop a wide-ranging decommissioning plan (UNEP, 2011). In the HYPREP progress reports of March 2021, there has been no mention of the status of SPDC oilfield infrastructures in Ogoniland. By implication, there are still no immediate plans on the maintenance of oilfield facilities or decommissioning of aged ones.

On the issue of massive environmental devastation occasioned by multiple oil spills, remediation activities in parts of Ogoniland resumed following ease of Covid 19 lockdown. Presently, work is going on in almost all the sites, with a different remediation approach. The contractors resumed with full mechanical remediation protocol of excavation, ex situ treatment and backfilling of remediated soil. The soil is usually dredged up at severely impacted sites using heavy duty bulldozer to the depth of 5m and taken to an offsite location for treatment (HYPREP, 2021). This protocol is very quick but very expensive. The cost of evacuating the dug up crude oil polluted soil and transporting it to the dump or treatment site and bringing the soil back to the point of excavation after treatment is not cost effective (Ding et al., 2020).
The choice of excavation and evacuation to an offsite location; a quick remediation strategy, was adopted probably to minimize the risk of continuous movement of the contaminant into the subsoil if treatment is to be done in situ (Rosalie et al., 2020) or to hasten the clean-up exercise following the aggravation of the environmental situation as the sites were abandoned during the Covid 19 lockdown.

Black High Density Polythene (HDP) material can be seen covering the floor of the treatment sites while the surroundings encased with the impermeable HDP materials (Rosalie et al., 2020) to avoid the contaminants escaping into the subsoil or to unpolluted locations (HYPREP, 2021). Treatment of excavated crude oil polluted soil usually involves washing the soil with chemical, which usually provides very fast result as hydrocarbons are substantially removed from the soil within very minimal time. The chemicals are usually organic solvents like ethanol, ethyl acetate or acetone but mixed with water (Khodadoust et al., 1999; Diphare and Muzenda, 2014). The effectiveness of these organic solvents and of course the process itself is enhanced with the inclusion of surfactants like sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), saponin or rhamnolipid (Ding et al., 2020). This strategy is very effective as heavy metals are also washed alongside the hydrocarbons (Urum and Pekdemir, 2004). However, procuring the solvents and the surfactants makes the process more expensive (Ding et al., 2020). Treated soil samples are taken to accredited laboratory to confirm substantial decrease in the levels of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon before it is returned to backfill the trenches from where it was taken.
CONCLUSION

Studies indicated a serious environmental devastation occasioned by extensive crude oil pollution of Ogoniland. The soil, water and air have all been severely polluted, resulting in different health challenges caused by intake/inhalation of or contact with crude oil/components. The sources of livelihood have also been affected as crop and fish farming are greatly disrupted. The present review has revealed that ten years after the UNEP’s report, various clean-up attempts and strategies applied are yet to achieve much. The effectiveness of the remediation strategies, as applied on the impacted sites could not be ascertained as they were abandoned half way. Meanwhile, leakages from abandoned dilapidated flow stations and from illegal artisanal refining activities ensured continuous pollution and aggravation of already dire environmental situation. However, this review gathered from the HYPREP report of an active environmental remediation on-going in Ogoniland. The review also ascertained of efforts aimed at improving the living conditions of the Ogoni people, new opportunities are provided with new sources of livelihoods availed to Ogoni people. It is hoped that the present remediation strategy will completely clean-up Ogoni environments of crude oil pollution, restore life and hope to Ogoni people in a hygienic and healthy environment while appropriate measures are put in place to prevent further oil spills in Ogoniland.
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