Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus: A Review on Basic and Clinical Features

Mamman, G. P., ^{1*} Sadiq, M.U., ² Angulu, C. N., ³ Angulu, S., ⁴ Jesse, I. A. ⁵ and Galadima, M. ³

¹ Department of Biology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Nigeria Army University, Biu Borno State Nigeria.

² Biological Science Department Federal Polytechnic Bida Niger State.

³ Department of Microbiology, School of Life Sciences, Federal University of Technology, Minna, Niger State, Nigeria.

⁴ Department of Biology College of Agriculture Mokwa Niger State.
 ⁵ Depertment of Biological Sciences, Taraba State University, Jalingo, Taraba State.
 Corresponding author email: godiya.pg823519@st.futminna.edu.ng
 Phone Number: 07067361171

Abstract: Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* is one of the most well-known pathogens today. Despite recent declines in its prevalence in some areas, MRSA remains a significant clinical concern with a high rate of morbidity and death. As a result of antibiotic use in the clinic, methicillin-resistant S. aureus has arisen (MRSA). Throughout the last few decades, new MRSA clones have been discovered. Unlike traditional MRSA, which is only seen in hospitals, the new clones can spread throughout the community and infect people with no known risk factors. This pattern will continue to emerge as the MRSA reservoir in companion and food animals increases. The aim of this review was to conduct a literature evaluation of basic and clinical MRSA research, with an emphasis on epidemiology, evolution, and *S. aureus* virulence factors. The study goes on to explain how molecular techniques have been used to classify methicillin resistance determinants as well as their evolutionary history.

Key: Methicillin resistant, Staphylococcus aureus, Infection, epidemiology, History, evolution

INTRODUCTION

Staphylococcus aureus is a coagulase-positive, Gram-positive Coccus that forms clusters resembling grapes. S. aureus is a commensal that is often present asymptomatically on parts of human body such as skin, skin glands, and mucous membranes, including noses and guts of healthy individuals (Sahreena and Kunyan, 2018).

One of the first pathogens identified was Staphylococcus aureus. This is hardly unexpected, given that it was and continues to be one of the most common causes of human infection. It is significant because of its ability to cause a variety of diseases and its ability to adapt to a variety environmental situations. S. aureus is one of the most common causes of hospital and community-acquired infections, which can be fatal. It can cause infections related to medical instrumentation, such as central-line associated bloodstream infection (CLABSI), deadly deep-seated well some such endocarditis as osteomyelitis, in the bloodstream, skin and

soft tissues, and the lower respiratory tracts (Rachel *et al.*, 2016).

Staphylococcus aureus has a diverse set of virulence factors and toxins, making it a common cause of toxin-mediated disorders such as toxic shock syndrome, staphylococcal foodborne infections (SFD), and scalded skin syndrome. S. aureus can also respond to challenges posed by the human immune system, virulence factors and toxins (Rachel et al., 2016; Sahreena and Kunyan, 2018).

Clinically, one of the most concerning aspects of S. aureus is the high rate of resistance to numerous antibiotic classes. which makes treatment more difficult. Resistance to penicillin developed in S. aureus within two years of its introduction (Tong et al., 2015). The first penicillinresistant strain of Staphylococcus aureus was discovered in 1942 (Akanbi et al., 2017). In the late 1950s, the semisynthetic antibiotic methicillin was created, and in 1960, methicillin-resistant aureus (MRSA) clinically recognized was (Lakhundi and Zhang, 2018).

S. aureus epidemics linked to antibiotic resistance come in waves (Akanbi et al., 2017). Epidemic of penicillin-resistant bacteria following S. aureus strains were the so-called "archaic" MRSA strains, which were initially discovered in the United Kingdom. Initially, the outbreak was mostly confined to Europe. However, new lineages began to develop in the 1980s, resulting in a worldwide catastrophe that is still ongoing (Akanbi et al., 2017).

Methicillin-resistant S. aureus infections are associated with higher mortality rates than infections caused by methicillin-susceptible S. aureus strains. Furthermore, they lead to longer hospital stays and higher health-care costs (Bale et al., 2018). MRSA strains produce an altered penicillin-binding protein (PBP) that has a lower affinity for most semisynthetic penicillins, as mentioned below. MecA, an acquired gene, encodes the protein (Bale et al., 2018). This methicillinresistant genetic component is carried by the staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec (SCCmec), a mobile genetic element (MGE) (Cuny et al., 2015; Bitrus et al., 2017). As a result, the acquisition and insertion of these genetic mobile elements chromosomes of susceptible staphylococci strains is responsible for the formation of methicillin-resistant staphylococci strains. The development of antibiotic resistance has been a problem to the medical community in terms of staphylococcal infection treatment and control. In most cases, MRSA is responsible for 25 to 50 percent of S. aureus infections in hospitals. Their high morbidity and mortality, as well as their resistance to all available penicillins and most other Blactam drugs (except ceftaroline ceftobiprole), make them a major concern (Rahimi, 2016).

MRSA was formerly only linked to healthcare settings, such as hospitals and other medical facilities, as well as the people who worked in them. It has, nevertheless, become a major source of community-acquired diseases and has established reservoirs in both environments. As a result, MRSA isn't just a nosocomial pathogen any longer. Since they were initially documented in the 1980s. community-acquired MRSA infections have become more common (Harrison et al., 2013; Perrero et al., 2014). The widespread introduction of communityassociated MRSA (CA-MRSA) strains, the epidemiology of MRSA may have changed. These strains were formerly linked to skin and soft tissue infections (SSTIs), but they now can because illnesses associated with health care (Harrison et al., 2013; Perrero et al., 2014), CA-MRSA differs from hospitalassociated MRSA (HA-MRSA) in that it is resistant to fewer non-lactam antibiotics, has a smaller form of SCCmec, and frequently produces the cytotoxin Panton-Valentine leukocidin (PVL) (Akanbi et al., 2017). CA-MRSA strains have traditionally been restricted to people outside of health-care settings, as previously stated. MRSA's prevalence and epidemiology are always evolving, with new MRSA clones arising in different parts of the world. The following sections discuss the epidemiology and history of MRSA; MRSA resistance mechanism, evolution and virulent factor of S. aureus.

Antibiotic Resistance in S. aureus

Antibiotic resistance is believed to have emerged in four waves in Staphylococcus aureus, the most recent of which was the of community-acquired appearance methicillin-resistant S. aureus (Hiramatsu et al., 2014). Antibiotics were not previously used to treat S. aureus. Staphylococcus aureus resistant strains were uncommon hospital outside of the environment (Hiramatsu et al., 2014).

Following World War II and the widespread use of antibiotics, penicillin-resistant S. aureus emerged. Resistance to penicillin is associated with production of penicillinase, a predominantly extracellular enzyme that hydrolyses the β -lactam carrying antibiotics encoded by blaZ gene controlled by two adjacent regulatory genes, the anti-repressor bla RI and the repressor blaI (Bitrus $et\ al.$, 2018).

Regardless of the environment, the vast majority of *Staphylococcal* isolates now develop penicillinase. The gene for lactamase, as well as other antimicrobial resistance genes, is located on a large plasmid (genes for gentamycin and erythromycin), and resistance to penicillin is spread primarily through the spread of resistance strains. It started in hospitals and then spread to the general public (Bitrus *et al.*, 2017).

Since the introduction of methicillin in 1959, the prevalence of penicillin-resistant S. aureus has decreased (Bitrus et al., 2018). However, methicillin-resistant S. aureus was discovered less than a year after its launch (Bitrus et al., 2017; Rasheed and Hussein, 2020). Antibiotic use in animals therapeutics, food processing, and disease prevention, in addition to human use, has contributed to antibiotic resistance in humans (Ndi and Barton, 2012). Antibiotics delivered in inadequate doses to foodproducing animals can cause bacterial resistance in livestock, increasing the risk of resistant bacterial strains crossing species boundaries, particularly livestock imported from countries where antibiotics are used carelessly (Bitrus et al., 2017; Rasheed and Hussein, 2020).

The combination of antibiotic resistance and high virulence makes *S. aureus* a dangerous pathogen. *S. aureus* exchanges antibiotic resistance-coding genetic material and virulence determinants amongst strains and other species such as *S. epidermidis* suggest an emerging hyper virulent, multidrugresistant superbug (Davies and Davies, 2010).

As a "superbug" *S. aureus* has higher morbidity and mortality due to multiple mutations encoding it with high levels of resistance to different antibiotic classes specifically recommended for their treatment. The *Staphylococcal* genome's complexity and maturity have enabled it to modify and adapt to a variety of situations, including exposure to a new antibiotic, adherence to a clinical device, and transition

from an animal to a human host (Humphreys, 2012).

Staphylococcus aureus is unlikely to show a change in sensitivity to a drug administered for a single short course, unless the mutation rate of resistance to that drug is very high, like in the case of streptomycin (aminoglycoside) and erythromycin (macrolide) (Rahimi, 2016).

Staphylococcus aureus is less sensitive to erythromycin than Pneumococci or haemolytic Streptococci and rapid development of resistance has been observed, especially of Staphylococci in vitro (Rahimi, 2016). It was noticed in vivo that resistance is usually not a serious clinical problem with short course of treatment with erythromycin but resistance is more likely to develop with prolonged

Epidemiology and History of MRSA

In 1961, methicillin was introduced as the first semisynthetic penicillinase-resistant penicillin. Following its publication, reports of methicillin-resistant isolates surfaced (Turner et al., 2019). Clinicians have been concerned about Methicillin-resistant strains are becoming more common. S. aureus is resistant to the antibiotic methicillin. Methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) infections have a greater clinical outcome than methicillin-sensitive S. aureus infections. Infections caused Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA) (Gnanamani et al., 2017). MRSA clones spread quickly across foreign boundaries after being discovered in a British hospital (Oliveira et al., 2018; Bitrus et al., 2018). When these uncommon MRSA clones are discovered in a new setting, they rapidly spread, leading to the formation of resident clones and an increase in the number of nosocomial infections (Plano et al., 2011; Gnanamani et al., 2017).

MRSA isolates, including penicillin-resistant strains, contain antimicrobial resistance genes (Malachowa and DeLeo, 2010). In the same way that penicillin resistance spread in the 1940s, MRSA is spreading now.

In the 1960s, methicillin resistance was first discovered in hospitals and it is becoming more well-known among the general public (Kandala *et al.*, 2017). While many of these diseases occurred in patients who had previously visited a hospital, the number of patients who had never visited a hospital has recently increased (Kandala *et al.*, 2017). Both rural and urban patients have been identified with these community-based infections (Kandala *et al.*, 2017; Tong *et al.*, 2015).

MRSA's evolutionary origins are unknown, and there is no agreement on nomenclature, the number of major MRSA clones, or the relationship between clones identified in different countries (Brurec et al., 2011). Over 3.000 MRSA isolates from various regions of the world were characterized by Oliveira et al. (2018), just a few disease namely the Iberia, clones. Brazilian. Hungarian, New York/Japan, and Paediatric clones, have been confirmed worldwide. This suggests that acquisition of the Staphylococcal cassette chromosome SCC mec, the mobile genetic elements harboring the mecA gene that confer methicillin resistance, has been a rare event (Brurec et al., 2011).

The evolutionary changes of MRSA have resulted in its continuing threat to public health. The rising prevalence of MRSA infections in hospitals has resulted in a rise in the use of vancomycin, the last antibiotic that MRSA strains were reliably susceptible to (Howden *et al.*, 2011; Raymund *et al.*, 2013).

Evolution of MRSA

Our understanding of MRSA evolution has been greatly helped by the development of molecular methods that classify both the methicillin resistance determinants and the strain phylogeny (evolutionary history) (Bitrus *et al.*, 2018).

MRSA strain identification based on sequences of seven housekeeping genes (Brurec *et al.*, 2011) and whole genome typing techniques, such as amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP), which records nucleotide sequence variation,

insertions, and deletions across the genome (Taylor *et al.*, 2020) have provided convincing molecular epidemiological evidence that MRSA and *S. aureus* as a species developed predominantly through clonal transmission (Wolk *et al.*, 2009; Taylor *et al.*, 2020).

Horizontal migration of DNA from other strains or organisms, on the other hand, has been reported and it's thought to play a role in the growth of S. aureus resistance (Brurec et al., 2011). This horizontal transfer is brought about mainly by events (Brurec et al., 2011). It is believed that, MRSA strains have emerged as a result of the introduction of the wide mobile genetic factor SCC mec (Staphylococcal Cassette Chromosome methicillin-resistant S. aureus) into methicillin-resistant S. aureus strain (Bitrus et al., 2018; Taylor et al., 2020).

Methicillin Resistance Mechanism

The mecA gene, which is found on the chromosome, is required for methicillin resistance (Oliveira et al., 2018). Penicillin binding protein 2a is a protein that binds to penicillin (PBP2a, also known as PBP21) is a 78-kilodalton protein that is synthesized by mecA. PBPs are membrane-bound enzymes that catalyze the transpeptidation reaction peptidoglycan required for crosslinking (Li et al., 2011; Oliveira et al., 2018). They have behavior that is close to that of serine proteases, from which they seem to have descended. It has a poor affinity for -lactam antibiotics because of this; PBP2a serves as a stand-in for the other PBPs, allowing Staphylococci to tolerate large concentrations of them. A soluble PBP2a derivative's crystal structure has been established in some experiments, implying that methicillin resistance confers resistance to all -lactam antibiotics. PBP2a's active site is distinct from that of other PBPs in that it prevents all -lactams from binding allowing the transpeptidation reaction to proceed (Cheung et al., 2021). Methicillin resistance is phynotypic, this means that each MRSA strain has a different proportion of bacteria grow various methicillin that at concentrations (Cheung et al., 2021).

In certain MRSA strains, homologues of the blaz regulatory genes control resistance expression in the same way that the genes blaR1 do. The mecA response to -lactam antibiotics is regulated by these genes, mecI and mecRI, while blaI control the blaZ response to penicillinblaI regulate the blaZ response to penicillin, the mecA response to -lactam antibiotics is regulated by these genes, mecI and mecRI. MRSA strains, either mecI or blaI must be involved, implying that this is a defense mechanism to avoid toxin protein overproduction (Cheung et al., 2021). The fem genes are another group of genes involved in crosslinking peptidoglycan strands and leading to methicillin resistance heterogeneity (factor important for methicillin resistance) (Cheung et al., 2021).

Most clinical isolates display under routine culture conditions, there is a heterogeneous pattern of resistance. Under certain culture conditions, such as incubation at 300°C or growth in hypertonic culture medium supplemented with Nacl or sucrose, heterogeneous strains may appear homogeneous (i.e., at 50mg of methicillin per ml, 1% or more of the cell develops) (Brown et al., 2012). Incubation at 37°C to 43°C or the addition of EDTA (PH 5.2) favors a heterogeneous pattern and has the potential to completely eliminate resistance. Methicillin resistance expression variations with various cul conditions are considered to ture be intermittent and phenotypic. Methicillin resistance express is seen in the borderline (or low level) methicillin-resistant strains of S. aureus. Methicillin MICs equal to or just above the susceptibility break point (e.g. Oxacillin MICs of 4.8 mg/ml) define such borderline methicillin resistance (BORSA) strains (Cheung et al., 2021). BORSA strains containing mecA develop PBP2a and heterogeneous highly methicillin resistant strains. These strains have a small number of cells that are resistant to the drug and can spread at high concentrations (Cheung *et al.*, 2021).

BORSA that lack the mecA gene fall into the second group. This can be distinguished from extremely heterogeneous mecA-positive BORSA strains phenotypically by the absence of highly resistant clones in the population of cells (Brown *et al.*, 2012). The hypothesis is that BORSA in mecA negative strains is either as a result of modification of normal PBP genes or overproduction of *staphylococcal* β-lactamase (Brown *et al.*, 2012).

Staphylococcus aureus is resistant to the antibiotic methicillin. S. aureus is resistant to the antibiotic methicillin. The penicillin binding protein of S. aureus is special (PBP2a). S. aureus chromosome contains the mecA gene. PBP2a is coded by S. aureus. a 76-kDa penicillin binding protein. The mec factor (40-60kb) isolates aureus (also referred to as PBP21). S. aureus has been proposed as the origin of the mecA gene (Chakraborty et al., 2011). Although the mechanism by which this species acquires genes is unclear, two genes on the mec portion of one isolate, ccrA and ccrB, have been discovered to make recombinase proteins that can remove and replace the mec element on the chromosome (Chakraborty et al., 2011; Magiorakos et al., 2012). PBP2a shares the common structure motifs associated with penicillin binding with other PBPs, however, it has a lower tolerance for -lactam antibiotics. As a result, PBP2a remains active, maintaining peptidoglycan glycan crosslinking, even at therapeutic methicillin levels, Other PBPs' transpeptidational activities would inhibited. Crosslinking is significantly reduced in cells grown in the presence of methicillin. PBP2a cannot fully compensate for the other PBPs. The cell's survival is, however, ensured by the minimal degree of crosslinking.

Altered penicillin Binding Protein (PBP2a)

MRSA vary generally from methicillinsensitive S. aureus isolates by the existence of the mecA gene, which encodes the 76 KDa penicillin binding protein, PBP2a can located on the mec element's chromosome, which is a 40-60kb foreign DNA stretch (also referred to as PBP21). The mecA gene is believed to originate in *S*. aureus (Bitrus et al., 2018), ccrA and ccrB, two genes located on the mec element of one isolate, code for recombinase proteins that can remove and integrate the mec portion into the chromosome (Chakraborty et al., 2011). PBP2a, like other PBPs, penicillin-binding motifs in its structure, on the other hand, has a low ffinity for -lactam antibioticsPBP2a is active at therapeutic methicillin concentrations, which would otherwise inhibit other PBPs' transpeptidational preventing activities, peptidoglycan crosslinking. Crosslinking is significantly reduced in cells grown in the presence of methicillin, PBP2a is unable to fully compensate for the other PBPs. On the other hand, a low level of crosslinking is adequate to ensure cell survival (Chakraborty et al., 2011).

Expression of PBP2a Regulation

Two genes, mecR1 and mecI, are found on the Staphylococcal hromosome are located next to mecA and are co-transcribed in a different way than mecA. MecR1 (membrane bound signal transduction protein) is produced by the mecR1 gene, while mecI (transcriptional regulator) is produced by the mecI gene (MecI). The promoters of these genes, as well as an operator region containing the -10 sequence of mecR1, are located between mecA and mecR1 (Bitrus et al., 2017). MecR1 and MecI have protein sequences that are very similar to B1aR1 and B1aI, which are plasmid-mediated involved in the gene, -lactamase Staphylococcal inducible expression. The B1aR1 and BlaI genes are arranged similarly to those of the mecA system, implying that mecA may have inherited the blaZ system's regulatory genes at some stage (Sowash *et al.*, 2014). BlaI will regulate PBP2a expression because the operator regions are close enough (Shibabaw *et al.*, 2013).

When BlaR1 and BlaI are present, as they often are in clinical MRSA isolates, PBP2a expression is typically induced by a plasmid containing the blaZ regulatory genes (Shibabaw *et al.*, 2013).

The inducible -lactamese speech signaling mechanism has been deciphered (Shibabaw et al., 2013). BlaI is a DNA-binding protein that forms a homodimer with the operator region and prevents blaZ and BlaR1-blal from trancribing RNA. In the absence of a lactamase antibiotic, -lactamase is expressed at low levels. Using a penicillin-binding extracellular domain, Bla1 recognizes the lactam and transmits the signal to the cytoplasmic membrane through a second intercellular zinc metalloprotease signaling domain. As the intracellular metalloprotease domain of BlaR1 binds to beta-lactam, it converts from an inactive proenzyme to an active protease (Bitrus et al., 2017). Blal is thought to be cleaved by the active form of BlaR1 either resulting in fragments that are unable to form dimers or bind DNA, either directly or indirectly (Bitrus et al., 2018). Transcription of both blaZ and blalR1-blal can begin without Blal bound to the operator site, and -lactamase synthesis can confer -lactam resistance. Another gene product, BlaR2, regulates lactamse synthesis as well, though its function is unknown. It's still unclear whether or not other proteins are involved in signaling mechanism. In carrying the normal regulatory genes (mecA and mecR1-mecI), PBP2a expression is not strongly inducible, and induction is much slower (15 minutes for -lactmase expression vs. up to 48 hours for PBP2a synthesis), unlike -lactmase synthesis. This is because MecI controls mecA transcription very tightly (Shibabaw et al., 2013), and most pre-MRSA isolates, while carrying the methicillin-resistant. mecA gene, are

Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* has emerged as a result of antibiotic use. selective strain on *aureus* mutated strains of *S. aureus* or deletions in the promoter operator regions of the mecI or mecA genes, resulting in an inactive repressor and constitutive PBP2a expression (Shibabaw *et al.*, 2013; Bitrus *et al.*, 2018).

Virulence Factors of S. aureus

Toxin production or direct invasion and degradation of tissue are two ways that S. Staphylococcus aureus causes disease. causes some diseases include aureus staphylococcal scalded impetigo, skin syndrome (SSSS),Staphylococcal food poisoning, and Toxic Shock Syndrome (TSS). Other diseases are caused by the species spreading, resulting in abscesses and tissue destruction (Bitrus et al., 2017).

Beta toxin

The majority of S. aureus strains B toxin, also known as Sphingomyelinase C is a heat-labile 35 KDa enzyme found in S. aureus. This enzyme s toxic to erythrocytes, leukocytes, macrophages, and fibroblasts, among other cells, and has sphingomyelin and lysophosphatidylcholine specificity. In susceptible cells, it catalyzes membrane phospholipid hydrolysis, with the amount of sphingomyelin exposed on the cell surface determining the degree of lysis. The differences in toxin sensitivity between organisms are thought to be the result of this. The development of beta-toxin is influenced by the species. The erythrocytes of sheep, cows, and goats are most vulnerable. Human erythrocytes are the most responsive, Murine and canine erythrocytes were next, followed by human erythrocytes. The amount of sphingomyelin in the erythrocytes' membrane affects the sensitivity. The function of ß toxin in human disease is unknown, when paired with a toxin; it is thought to be linked to tissue deterioration and abscess formation in staphylococcal diseases (Cheung et al., 2021).

Gamma-toxin

Gamma-toxin can lyze human, erythrocytes from sheep and human lymphoblastic cells and rabbits were used in the study. Toxins with two components, the Hlg and luk PV loci code for bicomponent toxins such as gamma-toxin, leukocidin, and bicomponent toxins. In this class of toxins, two proteins function together: one S (LukS-PV, H1gA, or H1gC) and one F (LukS-PV, H1gA, or H1gC) (LukS-PV, H1gA, or H1gC). (H1gA, H1gC, or LukS-PV). (H1gA, H1gC, or LukS-PV) (LukF-PV or HIgB), which are distinguished by their ionexchange chromatography mobility (slow or fast). The prototype bicomponent toxins are Panton-Valentine leukocidin (PVL) and gamma-toxin. LukS-PV and LukF-PV are PVL S and PVL F and F elements, respectively. H1gA and H1gB are the S and F components of gamma-toxin, respectively (Cheung et al., 2021). The F and S components must bind in a specific order for synergistic function. On erythrocytes, gamma-toxin causes H1gB (F) to bind first, then HIgA (S), resulting in the formation of a pore (Cheung et al., 2021).

Delta toxin

Almost every strain of S. aureus, as well as majority of other Staphylococci, produces 6 toxins a 3 KDa polypeptide. The toxin affects erythrocytes, other eukaryotic cells, Cell membrane structures, organelles, spheroplasts and protoplasts, and certain mammalian cells. The non-specific membrane toxicity of the toxin backs up the hypothesis that it acts like a surfactant, disrupting cellular membranes detergent-like manner. When used at high concentrations, in laboratory animals, it has also been stated to be dermonecrotic and lethal. Phospholipids block the function of delta-toxin (Charaborty et al., 2011). The hlg gene generates a 26-residue long peptide that peaks at the end of the exponential growth cycle and can be purified in a number of ways (Cheung et al., 2021).

Neutrophils, monocytes, lymphocytes, and erythrocytes have various toxin affinities (Charaborty *et al.*, 2011).

By allowing pores in the membrane to form, the toxin causes erythrocytes and other mammalian cells to lyse. Human and canine strains of *S. aureus* express at least two different variants of 6 toxin. aureus is the scientific name for the bacteria *S. aureus*. *S. aureus* are just 62% identical immunologically (Cheung *et al.*, 2021).

Leukocidin

S. aureus has the ability to produce a toxin that targets polymorphonuclear leukocytes. Leukocidin can be a virulence factor since phagocytosis is a key defense against Staphylococcal infection. The fact that it can destroy leukocytes gives it its name (of which neutrophils are one type). PVL is made up of two proteins: S and F. In that it binds to GM1 gangliosides, the S portion is close to the B element of an A-B toxin. Both, however, have enzymatic activity and metabolism active in the are of phospholipids and phosphatidylinositol. In eukaryotic cells, phosphatidylinositol, an essential signaling molecule, regulates a cellular processes. range of consequence, it appears that this twocomponent toxin disrupts normal cellular phospholipid functions bv changing metabolism (Charaborty et al., Cheung et al., 2021). According to most studies, the S portion binds first and then forms pores, the PVL's two components bind to human neutrophils in a particular order (Cheung et al., 2021).

Exfoliative toxins A and B

Exfoliative toxin A (ETA) and exfoliative toxin B (ETB) are two serologically distinct exfoliative toxins that have been identified (ETB). These toxins cause SSSS, at the desmosomes, skin layers are separated intraepidermal and is most commonly seen in newborns. The illness starts with a generalized erythema near the mouth that quickly spreads across the body. The epidermal layer wrinkles irreversibly when the skin is gently rubbed, resulting in the classic positive Nikolsky symbol Wide flaccid sterile bullae occur later, leading to the stratum granulosum layer being separated. It takes 7 to 10 days from the start of the illness to complete recovery. There are no long-term scars on the skin, and the toxins that cause the infection are not toxic to the host (Cheung *et al.*, 2021).

Toxin-1 in toxic shock syndrome

Fever, hypotension, and rash, as well as desquamation and multiple organ system involvement, are all symptoms of TSS. It is brought about by a toxin. Toxic shock syndrome toxin-1 (TSST-1) is an exotoxin developed by some S. aureus strains in a rabbit model; S. aureus can mimic many of the clinical symptoms of TSS. It was previously known as enterotoxin F and pyrogenic exotoxin C (there is no rash or desquamation). While not all Staphylococcal isolates from TSS patients tested positive for enterotoxin B, the vast majority did. This second toxin's role in TSS is unknown. Coagulase-negative staphylococci may also produce TSS (Chakroborty et al., 2011).

Capsule

Staphylococci cultured in vitro seldom have a polysaccharide layer that fits loosely (slime layer), but it is thought to be more normal in vivo. S. aureus clinical isolates account for more than 90% of all S. aureus Capsular polysaccharides generated by S. aureus. In S. aureus, eleven capsular serotypes have been described. The most common cause of infection is Staphylococcus aureus, serotypes 5 and 8 of Staphylococcus aureus (Chakroborty et al., 2011; Cheung et al., 2021). The colony morphology can also be used to split these capsules into two classes. On solid medium, serotype 1 and 2 capsules are mucoid, and the strains that make them are heavily encapsulated. Microcapsules, which have a thin capsular layer and grow in non-mucoid colonies on solid medium, make up the rest serotype 3 to 11 Antiphagocytic virulence factors have been discovered, such as mucoid-type capsules that mask C3b accumulated on bacterial cell walls and prevent it from being recognized receptors on phagocytic cells (Chakroborty et al., 2011; Cheung et al., 2021).

The capsule defends the bacteria against polymorphonuclear leukocytes and prevents mononuclear cell proliferation after mitogen exposure. Bacterial adhesion to catheters and other synthetic materials is also made easier. Examples include Grafts, shunts, prosthetic valves, and joints are all examples of grafts. This property is especially essential for coagulase-negative *staphylococci* survival, which are relatively virulent (Chakroborty *et al.*, 2011).

The ability of *S. aureus* Microcapsule-deficient strains to induce experimental infective endocarditis (IE) has been demonstrated, It means that the microcapsule can obscure essential cell wall proteins expressed on the cell surface that are involved in IE pathogenesis (Cheung *et al.*, 2021).

Protein A

Protein A is evenly coated on the surface of most S. aureus strains (except those that are coagulase-negative). This protein binds to the peptidoglycan layer covalently and Immunoglobulins (Ig) IgG1, IgG2, and IgG4 bind to the Fc receptor, effectively preventing antibody-mediated immune clearance. Protein A's function Staphylococcal infections isn't entirely clear. Protein A can obstruct opsonized bacteria

REFERENCES

Akanbi, O. E., Njom, H. A., Fri, J., Otigbu, A.C and Clarke, A. M. (2017). Antimicrobial Susceptibility of *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolated from Recreational Waters and Beach Sand in Eastern Cape Province of South Africa, International *Journal of Environmental Resources and Public Health*, 14, 1001-1019.

Bale, M. I., Babatunde, S. K., Adedayo, M. R., Ajiboye, A. E. and Ajao, A. T. (2018). Characterization of Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from apparently healthy individuals. *African Journal of Clinical and Experimental Microbiology*, 20 (1), 17-24.

Bitrus, A. A., Peter, O. M., Abbas, M. A. and Goni, M. D. (2018). *Staphylococcus aureus*: A review of antimicrobial resistance mechanisms. Veterinary

phagocytosis by binding IgG to receptors on the host cell. Antibodies can bind to extracellular protein A, forming specific antibodies that are then consumed by the complement system (Chakraborty *et al.*, 2011; Foster, 2016).

Endocarditis, pneumonia, empyema, and osteomyelitis are all examples of skin infections and septic arthritis is only a few of the diseases that can be caused by it, bacterial adherence to von Willebrand factor is mediated by protein A. When a foreign body is present, substantially less staphylococci are required to cause disease, such as a splinter, catheter, shunt, prosthetic valve, or joint (Foster, 2016; Cheung *et al.*, 2021).

Conclusions

Methicillin resistant *S. aureus*, a highly virulent and difficult-to-treat "superbug," can alter its gene content and expression to produce new strains with increased virulence and colonization ability. MRSA is currently regarded an urgent threat to public health because it is an extremely adaptable disease with a shown ability to build resistance.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

sciences: Research and Reviews, 4(2), 11-23.

Bitrus, A. A., Zunita, Z., Bejo, S. K., Othman, S. and Nadzir, N. A. A. (2017). In vitro transfer of methicillin resistance determinants mecA from methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) to methicillin susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA). BMC *microbiology*, 17(1), 83-92.

Breurec, S., Zrioml, S. B., Fall, C., Boisier, P., Brisse, S., Djibo, G., Etienne, J., Fonkoua, M. C., Perrier-Gros-Claude, J. D., Pouillot, R., Ramarokoto, C. E., Randrianirina, F., Tall, A., Thiberge, J. M. L, aurent, F. and Garin, B. (2011). Epidemiology of Methicillin Resistant *Staph. aureus* Lineages in Five Major African Towns: Emergence and Spread of Atypical Clones. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, 17, 160-165.

- Brown, S., Xiz, G., Luhachack, L., Campbell, J., Meredith, T., Chen, C., Winstel, V., Gekeler, C., Irazoqui, J., Peschel, A. and Walker, S. (2012). Methecillin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* requires glycosylated wall teichoic acids. Proceedings of the National academic of sciences USA 109: 18909-18914.
- Chambers, H. F. and Deleo, F. R. (2009). Waves of resistance: *Staphylococcus aureus* in the antibiotic era. *Nature Review Microbiology*, 7,629–641.
- Chakraborty, S. P., Mahapatra, S. K. and Roy, S. (2011). Biochemical characters and antibiotic susceptibility of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates. *Asian pacific journal of tropical biomedicine*, 1, 212-216
- Cheung, G. Y. C. Bae, J. S. and Otto, M. (2021). Pathogenicity and virulence of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Virulence*, 12(1), 547-569.
- Cuny, C., Lothar H., Wieler, W. and Wolfgang, W. (2015). Livestock associated MRSA: The impact on humans. *Antibiotics*, 4, 521-543.
- Davies, J. and Davies, D. (2010). Origins and evolution of antibiotic resistance. Microbiology. *Molecular Biology Review*, 74(3), 417-433.
- J. (2016). T. The remarkably Foster, multifunctional fibronectin binding proteins of Staphylococcus aureus. European Journal Clinical Microbiology Disease 1923-1931. Infectious 35. 10.1007/s10096-016-2763-0
- Gitau, W., Moses, M., Moses, M., Beatrice, M. and Titus, M. (2018). Antimicrobial susceptibility Pattern of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from clinical specimens at Kenyatta National Hospital. *BMC Research*, 11, 226.
- Gnanamani, A., Hariharan, P. and Paul-Satyaseela, M. (2017). *Staphylococcus aureus*: Overview of bacteriology, clinical diseases, epidemiology. *Antibiotic Resistance and Therapeutic Approach* 2, 17-33.
- Harrison, E. M., Paterson, G. K., Holden, M. T. G., Morgan, F. J. E., Larsen, A. R., Petersen, A., Leroy, S., De Vliegher, S., Perreten, V., Fox, L. K., Lam, T. J. G. M., Sampimon, O. C., Zadoks, R.S., Peacock, S. J., Parkhill, J. and Holmes, M. A. (2013). A Staphylococcus xylosus isolate

- with a new mecC Allotype. *Antimicrobial Agents Chemotherapy*, 57(3), 1524-1528.
- Hiramatsu, K., Katayama, Y., Matsuo, M., Sasaki, T., Morimoto, Y. and Sekiguchi, A. (2014). Multi-drug-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and future chemotherapy. Journal of infection and chemotherapy: official. *Journal of the Japan Society of Chemotherapy*, 20(10), 593-601.
- Howden, B. P., McEvoy, C. R. E, D. L., Chua, L., Gao, W., Harrison, P. F., Bell, J., Coombs, G., Bennett-Wood, V., Porter, J.L., Robins-Browne, R., Davies, J.K., Seemann, T. and Stinear T. P, (2011). Evolution of multidrug resistance during *Staphylococcus aureus* infection involves mutation of the essential two component regulator. *PLoS Pathology*, 7(11), 1002-359
- Humphrey, H. (2012). *Staphylococcus aureus*: The Enduring Pathogen in Surgery. *The Surgeon*, 10(6), 357-360.
- Kandala, N., Abdulateef, M. and Imad, N. (2017). Genotyping of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates based on Methicillin resistance genes and its relatedness to some putative virulence factors. *Iraqi Journal of Science*, 58,(2A), 626-38.
- Lakhundi, S. and Zhang, K. (2018). Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: molecular Characterization, evolution, and epidemiology. *Clinical Microbiology*, Review 31-18.
- Li, S., Skov, R. L, Hand, X., Larsen, A. R. and Larsen, J. (2011). Novel types of *staphylococcal* cassette chromosome mec elements identified in CC398 methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Journal of Antimicrobial and Chemotherapy*, 46, 675-603.
- Malachowa, N. and DeLeo, F. R. (2010). Mobile genetic element of *Staphylococcus aureus.Cell and Molecular Life Science*, (18), 3057-3071.
- Magiorakos, A. P., Srinivasan, A., Carey, P. B., Carmeli, Y., Falagas, M. E., C. C. Harbarth, S. Hindier, J. F., Kahlmeter, G., Olsson-Liljequist, B., Peterson, D. L. Pice, L. B., Stalling, J., Struelens, M. J., Vatopoulos, A., Weber, J. T. and Monnet, D. L. (2012). Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: An international expert proposal for interim standard definitions

- for acquired resistance. *Clincal Microbiology and Infection*, 18, 268-281
- Ndi, O. and Barton, M. (2012). Antibiotic Resistance in Animals-The Australian Perspective. In: Antimicrobial Resistance in the Environment, Keen P.L and Montforts Eds. 1st Edition. John Wiley and Sons Inc, New Jersey, USA. Pp. 265-289.
- Oliveira, D., Borges, A. and Simoes, M. (2018). *Staphylococcus aureus* toxins and their molecular activity in infectious diseases. *Toxins*, 10(6), 10-24.
- Rachel, M. M., Keenan, A. L. and Joan, A. G. (2016). The Role of *Staphylococcus* aureus
- Virulence Factors in Skin Infection and Their Potential as Vaccine Antigens. *Pathogens*, 5, 22.
- Rahimi, F. (2016).Characterization of resistance to aminoglycosides in Methicillin Staphylococcus resistant aureus strains isolated from a Tertiary Tehran. Care Hospital in Iran. Jundishapur. Journal of microbiology, 9(1), 229-237.
- Rasheed, N. & Hussein, N. R. (2020). The nasal carriage of *Staphylococcus aureus* and its antimicrobial susceptibility pattern in secondary school students in Kurdistan region, Iraq. *Journal of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences*, 24(1), 18-35.
- Raymund, D., Yi, M., Belflower, R., Aragon, D., Dumyati, G., Harrison, L. H., Lessa, F. C., Lynfield, R., Nadle, J., Petit, S., Ray, S. M., Schaffner, W., Townes, J. and Fridkin, S. (2013). The emerging infections program. *Journal of Amerian and Medical Association of International Medicine*, 173(21), 1970-1978.
- Sahreena, L. and Kunyan, Z. (2018). Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: Molecular
- Characterization, Evolution, and Epidemiolog. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, 31, (4), 20-18.
- Shibabaw, A., Abebe, T. and Mihret, A. (2013).

 Nasal carriage rate of methicillin resistant

 Staphylococcus aureus among Dessie

 Referral Hospital Health Care Workers;

 Dessie, Northeast Ethiopia. Antimicrobial

- Resistance and Infection Control, 2(1), 25-35.
- Sowash, M. and Uhlemann, A. C. (2014). Community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* case studies. Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) protocols: *Springer*, p. 25-69.
- Taylor, T. A. and Unakal, C. G. (2020). Staphylococcus aureus. Stat pearls. Treasure island (FL): Stat Pearls Publishing LLC, 2, 18-23.
- Tong, A., Steven, Y. C., Joshua, S., Davis, A., Emily, E. B., Thomas, L., Holland, B., Vance, G. and Fowler, J. B. C. (2015). Staphylococcus aureus infections: epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical manifestations, and management. American Society for Microbiology, 28, 3-603.
- Turner, N. A., Sharma-Kuinkel, B. K., Maskarinec, S. A., Eichenberger, E. M., Shah,P. P. and Carugati, M. (2019). Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: an overview of basic and clinical research. *Nature reviews Microbiology*, 17(4), 203-18.
- Perrero, M. C., Valverde, A., Fernández-Llario, P., Díez- Guerrier, A., Mateos, A., Lavín, S., Canton R., Fernandez, G. J. and Domenguez, L. (2014). *Staphylococcus aureus* carrying mecC gene in animals and urban waste water, Spain. *Emerging Infectious Disease*, 20(5), 899-901.
- Plano, L.R.W.; Garza, A.C.; Shibata, T.; Elmir, S.M.; Kish, J.; Sinigalliano, C.D.; Gidley, M.L.; Miller, G., Withum, K. & Fleming, L.E.; (2011). Shedding of *Staphylococcus aureus* and Methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from adult and pediatric bathers in marine waters. *BMC Microbiology* 1, 5.
- Wolk, D. M., Struelens, M. J., Pancholi, P., Davis, T., Della-Latta, P., Fuller, D., Picton, E., Dickenson, R. Denis, O., Johnson, D. and Chapin, K. (2009). Rapid detection of *Staphylococcus aureus* and methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) in wound specimens and blood cultures: multicenter preclinical evaluation of the cepheid xpert MRSA/SA skin and soft tissue and blood culture assays. *Journal Clinical Microbiology*, 47,823–826.